



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,305	08/29/2006	James E Dowling	A197 US 002	1899
7590 Biogen Idec Inc Patent and Trademark Coordinator 14 Cambridge Center Cambridge, MA 02142			EXAMINER MCDOWELL, BRIANE	
			ART UNIT 1624	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/21/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/552,305

Applicant(s)

DOWLING ET AL.

Examiner

BRIAN MCDOWELL

Art Unit

1624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-56 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/86)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = alkynylene, X^2 = alkylene, X^3 = L = Y = a bond.
- II. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = alkynylene, X^2 = alkylene, X^3 = L = a bond, Y = O.
- III. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = alkylene, X^2 = alkylene, X^3 = Y = a bond, L = pyrrolidine.
- IV. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = X^2 = a bond, X^3 = alkylene = a bond, Y = CO, L = aryl.
- V. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = X^2 = Y = a bond, X^3 = alkylene, L = 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine.
- VI. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = alkynylene, X^2 = X^3 = Y = L = a bond.

- VII. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = alkylene, $X^2 = X^3 = Y$ = a bond, L = pyrrolidine.
- VIII. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where $X^1 = L = X^2$ = a bond, X^3 = alkylene, $Y = -NRCO-$.
- IX. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^2 = alkylene, $X^1 = X^3 = Y$ = a bond, L = piperidine.
- X. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = alkynylene, X^2 = alkylene, $X^3 = Y$ = a bond, L = pyrrolidine.
- XI. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where $X^1 = X^2$ = a bond, X^3 = alkylene = a bond, $Y = CO$, L = aryl.
- XII. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where $X^1 = X^2$ = a bond, X^3 = alkylene = a bond, $Y = -NRCO-$, L = aryl.
- XIII. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = alkylene, $X^2 = X^3 = Y$ = a bond, L = piperazine.
- XIV. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = alkylene, X^2 = alkylene, $X^3 = Y$ = a bond, L = piperazine.
- XV. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where X^1 = alkynylene, X^2 = alkylene, $X^3 = Y$ = a bond, L = pyrrolidine.

- XVI. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1, where $X^1 = X^2 = X^3 = \text{a bond}$, $Y = \text{CO}$, $L = \text{aryl}$.
- XVII. Claims 1-21,35,36 (in part), drawn to compounds of the formula I seen in claim 1 not embraced by groups XVI.
- XVIII. Claims 22-25, drawn to compounds of the formula III seen in claim 22.
- XIX. Claims 26-29, drawn to compounds of the formula IV seen in claim 26.
- XX. Claims 30-34, drawn to compounds of the formula V seen in claim 30.
- XXI. Claims 37-48, drawn to a method of modulating the A_{2a} adenosine receptor pathway and treating related disorders thereof using compounds in groups I-XVII (applicant must elect one group).
- XXII. Claims 49-51, drawn to a method of making compounds in group XVIII.
- XXIII. Claims 52-54, drawn to a method of making compounds in group XIX.
- XXIV. Claims 55-56, drawn to a method of making compounds in group XX.

Note: Election of a single disclosed chemical specie is required for any group elected. Furthermore, a single disclosed disease is required for group XXI. Applicant is reminded that a further restriction may be required due to the complex variability of the compound's core.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XXIV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The compound claims embrace structurally different heterocyclic cores (e.g., formula I-drawn to

triazolopyrazines and formula III-drawn to pyrazine carboxamidines). Therefore, these claims can be said to lack unity *a priori* as there is no technical feature common to all the claims.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) **and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species**, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the

above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN MCDOWELL whose telephone number is (571)270-5755. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BM

/James O. Wilson/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624